PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PA-04043/PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/JP2004/016385	International filing date (day/month/year) 28 October 2004 (28.10.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29 October 2003 (29.10.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant SHOWA DENKO K. K.				

1.	 This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a). 					
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	This report contains indications re	elating to the following items	:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Вох №. П	Priority				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).					
Date of issuance of this report 01 May 2006 (01.05.2006)						
The International Bureau of WIPO			Authorized officer			
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Masashi Honda			
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10			

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 17 MAR 2005

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT

To: SHIMIZU Hisayoshi

> Idemitsu Nagahori Bldg., 4-26, Minamisemba 3-chome, Chuo-ku,Osaka-shi, Osaka 542-0081 JAPAN

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 15. 3. 2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below PA-04043/PCT Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 29.10.2003 PCT/JP2004/016385 28.10.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int.Cl7 H01G9/08 H01G9/00 Applicant SHOWA DENKO K.K.

Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writter opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forn PCII/SA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCII/ISA/220.	1.	1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 7. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		\checkmark	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that writter opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. II	Priority		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 7. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writter opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writter opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writter opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	Box No. V Rea			Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that writter opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("PEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PC1/ISA/220.		If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
	3.	For f	further details, se	e notes to Form PCI/ISA/220.		

Date of completion of this opinion 23.02	.2005		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer	5R	9375
Japan Patent Office	Kiyoshi KUWAHARA		
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan	Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3	3520	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/016385

Box No. I	Basis of the opinion		
which it	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under the purpose).		
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
a. type or	f material .		
	a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format	of material		
	in written format in computer readable form		
c. time of	filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
1110	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been d or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that he application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4. Additions	al comments:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/ 016385

Box No		ment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i xplanations supporting such) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industatement	strial applicability;
1. S	tatement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-31	YES NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	16,18 1-15,17,19-31	YES NO
	Industrial applicability	(IA) Claims	1-31	YES

2. Citations and explanations

[Cited documents]

- 1. Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the written application of Japanese Utility Model Application No.056980/1979(Laid-open No. 156431/1980) (Nippon Chemi-Con Corporation), 1980.11.11, whole document, Fig.1-2(Family none)
- 2. JP 2002-015954 A(Kyocera Corporation)2002.01.18, paragraph [0022](Family none)
- 3.JP 2002-110479 A(Nippon Chemi-Con Corporation)2002.04.12, claims, paragraph [0016]-[0018], Fig.1(Family none)
- 4.JP 05-243102 A(Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.)1993.09.21, claims, paragraph [0011]-[0012], Fig.1-2(Family none)
- 5.Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the written application of Japanese Utility Model Application No.182823/1983(Laid-open No.090828/1985) (SANYO Electric Co.,Ltd.), 1985.06.21, claim, Fig.3-4(Family none)
- 6.WO 2001/076865 A(Mitsubishi Plastics, Inc.)2001.10.18, claims&CN 1436122 A

[Claims 1-15,17,19-31]

The document 1 is considered to represent the closest prior art for the subject matters of claims 1-15,17,19-31.

The document 1 discloses

- " An electrolytic capacitor, comprising an external casing;
- a capacitor element enclosed in the external casing; and
- a heat conductive material consists of alumina particles (a particle diameter is 3 4 $\,\mu\,\rm m)$ and polypropylene ,

wherein the heat conductive material is disposed between the external casing and the capacitor element so as to be in contact with the external casing and the capacitor element.

and a content rate of the particle in the heat conductive material is 50-75 mass%. "

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/016385

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

The document 2 discloses

" Alumina or aluminum nitride has heat conductivity of 3W/m·K or more and can be used as a heat conductive material in the field of electrolytic capacitor.

And Silicone has heat conductivity of 1W/m·K or more and can be used as a heat conductive material."

And the document 3 discloses

" The heat conductive material consists of silicone oil or denatured silicone oil, wherein the heat conductive material is disposed between the external casing and the capacitor element."

Hence the subject matters of claims 1-13 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the document 1-3 cited in the ISR.

Furthermore, the document 4,5 discloses
"An electrolytic capacitor, comprising:
an external casing made of aluminum; and
a capacitor element enclosed in the external casing,
wherein an external peripheral surface of the external casing is covered with an
insulation film."

And "An external peripheral surface of the external casing is covered with an anodic oxide formed by surface treatment " is disclosed in the document 6.

Therefore the subject matters of claims 14-15,17,19-31 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1-6.

[claims 16,18]

The subject matters of claims 16,18 are neither disclosed in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.